

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/426,143	10/22/1999	JOHN WAINWRIGHT	49658-034	1474
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER	
Brian Hickman			HARRISON, CHANTE E	
Hickman Palermo Troung & Becker LLP 1600 Willow Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95125			2675	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office And and O	09/426,143	WAINWRIGHT, JOHN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chante Harrison	2672
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1      Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-16 and 18-22 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6 and 17 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-16 and 18-22 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date  Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 1/14/05.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-14, 16 and 18-22 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 8, 12, and 18 are independent claims. Claims 1, 8, 10, 12 18 and 20 have been amended. Claims 6 and 17 have been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-14, 16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over John Merrill et al., U.S. Publication 2002/0008703 A1, 1/2002.

As per independent claim 1, Merrill discloses detecting that a statement contains an operation identifier, pattern-matching criteria, and attribute identifier...(pp. 13, Para 168-169; pp. 19, Para 324-327), and executing the statement by identifying said set of graphical components associated with identifiers that satisfy pattern matching criteria (pp. 20, Para 340), performing the operation on the attribute of each graphical component in the set of graphical components that satisfy said pattern matching criteria

Application/Control Number: 09/426,143

Art Unit: 2672

(pp.7, Para 87-88; pp. 20, Para 341), altering state information corresponding to each graphical component in said set of graphical components to generate a frame within an animation (pp. 21, Pare 352, 356, 358, 361).

Merrill fails to specifically disclose a computer-aided design software environment and a statement that is executed by identifying identifiers and performing operations on the object.

Merrill teaches a visual basic programming environment which uses an OLE control as an interface, where the OLE control acts as a thin software layer that enables programmatic access to the methods and properties of the software objects in the animation server based on control requests invoked by a user/developer through creation of an application (pp. 12, Para 150). Merrill also teaches processing a script that identifies an agent object (i.e. operation identifier) having an associated property (i.e. attribute identifier) and outputs the behavior (i.e. operation) of the object as specified by the script command. The citation in Merrill's disclosure corresponding to the claim feature, executing a statement by identifying all objects associated with identifiers, is interpreted as having one object identified as associated with identifiers out of all possible objects.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to incorporate a CAD environment with the disclosure of Merrill because the visual basic program environment uses an interface to accept user commands that are used by the program to manipulate graphical components of objects based on program commands in the same manner as a CAD program as defined in the background of Applicant's

specification (pp. 2). Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to incorporate a statement that is executed by identifying identifiers and performing operations on the object with the disclosure of Merrill because a script is a statement or collection of statements; and by associating properties with a script that specify behavior of objects as output corresponds to the statements in the script performing an operation on the object based on the associated attributes.

As per dependent claim 2, Merrill discloses a first character string containing a wild card character and that specifies pattern-matching criteria (pp. 10, Para 128, 129; pp. 13, Para 156 "Clients...access...animation...using...agent object's interface"; pp. 13, Para 169) and that specifies pattern-matching criteria (pp. 20, Para 340).

As per dependent claim 3, Merrill discloses the first string of characters as part of a second string of characters and the second string of characters including an attribute identifier in a format that conforms to object-dot notation (pp. 19, Para 324).

As per dependent claim 5, Merrill discloses a scripting language (col. 10, II. 50-60) and a script processor (pp. 1, Para 11; Fig. 12).

As per dependent claim 7, Merrill discloses the statement containing pattern matching criteria for a hierarchical identifier (pp. 11, Para 142).

Application/Control Number: 09/426,143

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 8, Merrill discloses identifying an attribute of a member of a collection of graphical components (pp. 23, Para 419). Claim 8 claims a method as claimed in claim 1, therefore the rational applied in the rejection of claim 1 applies herein.

As per dependent claims 9 and 19, Merrill discloses a collection of graphical components is an array (pp. 11, Para 141).

As per dependent claims 10 and 20, Merrill discloses said collection of graphical components (i.e. container objects) includes all instances of a native type (i.e. object properties) of graphical components...(pp. 12, Para 144, Para 150).

As per dependent claim 11, Merrill discloses said native type (i.e. property) is a type of graphical component, wherein the type defines a surface (pp. 9-10, Para 119) "COM interfaces allow the...system to obtain information about the character in general...they provide access to all of the character's properties..." (pp. 11, Para 140 "A property is an attribute, such as a color...").

Merrill fails to specifically disclose a map type of graphical component.

Merrill teaches properties having different types (pp. 11, Para 141), and defining all object attributes including color, which represents the color of the animated object surface.

Application/Control Number: 09/426,143

Art Unit: 2672

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to incorporate a map type of graphical component with disclosure of Merrill because an object's color attributes define a property of the object surface as does a map type graphical component.

As per independent claim 12, Merrill discloses computer readable medium (pp. 23, Para 420) for performing the method claim 1. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim 1 applies herein.

As per dependent claims 13-14 and 16, refer to the above rejections as applied to claims 2-3 and 5, respectively.

As per independent claim 18, Merrill discloses computer readable medium (pp. 23, Para 420) for performing the method claim 8. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim 8 applies herein.

As per dependent claims 21 and 22, Merrill discloses changing the value of another attribute, the other attributes not associated with the identifiers that satisfy said pattern matching criteria (i.e. the action/change of value corresponding to an animated action of one object is controlled/manipulated to result in the synchronization of that object with the graphical component altered as a result of the pattern matching criteria) (pp. 21, Para 356-358).

Application/Control Number: 09/426,143 Page 7

Art Unit: 2672

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Merrill does not teach detecting a statement having an operation identifier, pattern matching criteria and an attribute identifier in a "computer –aided design software environment".

In reply, Merrill teaches a visual basic programming environment which uses an OLE control as an interface, where the OLE control acts as a thin software layer that enables programmatic access to the methods and properties of the software objects in the animation server based on control requests invoked by a user/developer through creation of an application (pp. 12, Para 150). Merrill's visual basic programming environment corresponds to a CAD system as it functions in the same manner as a CAD system as described in the background of the Applicant's specification (pp. 2).

Art Unit: 2672

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is 703-305-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 24, 2004

Chante Harrison Examiner

Art Unit 2672

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600